## The 13th October, 1982

No. ID/HSR/76/80/46917.— Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Sarup and the management of The Executive Engineer, Construction Division, P.W.D. (B.&R.) Tosham (Bhiwani) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryans considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab 70/32573, dated 6th November 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Sarup was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/96/82/46936.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rattan Lal and the management of the Haryana Roadways, Kaithal, regarding the matter hereinaster appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rattan Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/AMB/97/82/46942.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raj Pal and the management of Haryana Roadways, Kaithal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th Fobruary, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Pal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/HSR/35/82/46948.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satbir and the management of Central State Farm 10 K.M. Sirsa Road, Hissar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the disputes as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Satbir was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

v. s. chaudhri,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.